## Interview Summary

## Application No. O9/616,526 Examiner Applicant(s) ABDUL MALAK ET AL. Art Unit

	Teresa E Strzelecka 1637
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	) personnel):
(1) <u>Teresa E Strzelecka</u> .	(3) <u>Gerard Portal</u> .
(2) <u>Anna Nelson</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2002</u> .	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-129 (new)</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: None.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amendments</u> as 35 USC 112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues, were discussed.	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was s to the claims and ways to overcome art rejections, as well
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked).	separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is
MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEV action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON	RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION W. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office E MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A W. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on
	13 14/10/02

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/16/02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required